

March 15, 2023

JBG, LLC PO Box 90846 Raleigh, NC 27675

Attn: Darrell Janezic

Subject: Mold Assessment Sanford Train Depot 106 Charlotte Avenue Sanford, North Carolina 27330 Matrix Project # 230347

Dear Mr. Janezic:

Matrix Health & Safety Consultants, L.L.C. (Matrix) is pleased to present this report of the limited mold assessment at the referenced project site. This report includes a description of the scope of services performed, results of the survey, and recommendations where necessary.

### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

The limited mold assessment was performed on March 9, 2023, by Gregg E. Heppert, Industrial Hygienist with Matrix Health & Safety. Matrix was present at the subject building for the purpose of collecting mold air samples from the building, which is used as office space and events.

#### **GENERAL OBSERVATIONS**

It is our understanding that water collects beneath the building resulting in possible degradation of the kitchen flooring. Supply ductwork is a slab level. No visible mold was observed during the site visit.

During our inspection, Matrix collected data for relative humidity. The inside relative humidity was 30%. ASHRAE standards recommend an interior humidity level between 40% - 60% to promote healthy air quality. Humidity levels above 60% will likely promote mold growth.

#### MOLD SURVEY PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

The scope of the survey included collection of air samples for the purpose of determining potential exposure to mold. Four air samples were collected from inside the building and submitted for analysis. One background air sample was used for comparative purposes. All office doors were open during sampling. All samples collected were shipped to Eurofins-CEI for analysis and is accredited by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) for environmental microbiology. Laboratory analysis reports are attached.

The following table provides a brief summary of the fungi air sampling results:

SAMPLE #	LOCATON	LABORATORY RESULTS
	AIR-O-CELL AIR	SAMPLES
STD-1	Conference Room/Kitchen	270 spores/m3 Aspergillus/Penicillium 93 spores/m3
STD-2	HVAC Room	3,200 spores/m3 Aspergillus/Penicillium 2,880 spores/m3
STD-3	Office Area	490 spores/m3 Aspergillus/Penicillium 293 spores/m3
STD-4	Storage Area	4,000 spores/m3 Aspergillus/Penicillium 3,380 spores/m3
EX-1	Exterior – Front of Building	710 spores/m3 Aspergillus/Penicillium 27 spores/m3

Spores/m3 – Fungal spore count per cubic meter of air.

Air sampling analysis indicated **higher** concentrations of total airborne fungi inside the building as compared to outside. Additionally, elevated levels of **Aspergillus/Penicillium** were found inside the building, indicating an airborne mold amplification is present. This type of mold is generally indicative of a past or ongoing moisture intrusion issue, and is a possible cause for concern as a potential health risk to sensitive individuals.

#### DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on observations and testing data collected during our site visit, it is our opinion that a potential mold exposure issue is present inside the building.

- 1) The most crucial step in addressing any mold remediation project is correcting moisture issues that promote mold growth and contamination. Investigation and repairs are recommended to prevent water from entering building below slab.
- 2) Additional investigation to determine if mold is growing on original plaster or wood walls located behind existing drywall.
- 3) It is recommended that the HVAC unit, ductwork and supply vents be cleaned. Servicing each unit by a licensed HVAC contractor is also recommended to ensure proper functioning.
- 4) An approved cleaning agent (Fosters 40-80 or equivalent) and HEPA equipped vacuums should be used to clean hard surfaces inside the building, including, but not limited to, walls, floors, ceilings, and furniture. HEPA vacuum remaining items in the building that cannot be wet wiped.
- 5) Install air filtration fans equipped with HEPA filters and dehumidifiers for the duration of the remediation and cleaning.
- 6) Matrix recommends that mold remediation and duct cleaning be performed by an experienced mold remediation contractor.

7) A final inspection and mold air sampling are recommended at the completion of remediation activities.

Additionally, conditions reported in this report were based on the time of the inspection only and circumstances may change following the inspection. Should further issues occur or conditions change, it may be necessary to re-evaluate the unit and consider more in-depth testing. An effort was made to provide as complete and comprehensive an evaluation as professionally practical. Observations, findings, results, and conclusions are limited to those conditions apparent at the time of the inspection. It should not be construed that actions taken as a result of this work will achieve complete compliance with every regulatory standard. Neither should it be considered that any recommendations noted are the only possible actions to be taken.

Matrix appreciates the opportunity to have provided these services. We would be glad to discuss any of the results contained in this report, at your convenience. If there are any questions concerning this report or results, please contact us.

Sincerely, MATRIX HEALTH & SAFETY CONSULTANTS, L.L.C.

C.B.C. Mars

C. Britt Wester, CIH Principal

Attachments: Site Photographs Laboratory Analytical Reports

Crece / trapat

Gregg E. Heppert Project Principal

# Photos





Sagging kitchen floor.



Debris in floor vents.

# Laboratory Analysis Reports

eurofins	.]
<b>MO</b> No	LD SPORE TRAP REPORT onviable Direct Microscopy
	Prepared for
Matrix	Health & Safety Consultants
CLIENT PROJECT:	Sanford Train Depot
LAB CODE:	M230910
TEST METHOD:	CEI Method 110
RECEIVED DATE:	03/10/23
REPORT DATE:	03/10/23
	Tianbao Bai, Ph.D., CIH Laboratory Director
All samples received in acceptable customer sample ID, location and v and laboratory blanks.	condition. Information provided by customer includes volume. Analytical results are not corrected for field
Test results relate only to the items than their original intent. This report approval by Eurofins CEI (CEI). CE and makes no warranty represe information in preparing and present the cost of analysis, except for C Interpretation of the analytical result	tested and cannot be extrapolated to anything larger may not be reproduced, except in full, without written il bears no responsibility for client sampling methods intation regarding the accuracy of client-supplied ting analytical results. CEI maintains liability limited to CEI's own willful misconduct or gross negligence. s is the sole responsibility of the customer.
The overall intralaboratory relative s	tandard deviation (Sr) for the lab = 0.24.

730 SE Maynard Road • Cary, NC 27511 • 919.481.1413

🛟 eurofins

CEI

## MOLD SPORE TRAP REPORT: NONVIABLE DIRECT MICROSCOPY

**CLIENT** Matrix Health & Safety Consultants 2900 Yonkers Road Raleigh, NC 27604

Lab Code: M230910 Date Received: 03-09-23 Date Analyzed: 03-10-23 Date Reported: 03-10-23

PROJECT: Sanford Train Depot

	Olivert ID		07	D 4			01	ъ 2			01	-D 2	
	Client ID	31D-1			510-2			310-3					
	Lab ID	M003063			M003064			M003065					
	Location		Conferer	nce Room			HVAC	Room			Off	fices	
	Volume (L)			75				75				75	
		Bow	0/	Spores	% of	Bow	9/	Spores	9/ of	Bow	9/	Spores	% of
	IDENTIFICATION	Counts	Analyzed	per m <sup>3</sup>	Total	Counts	Analyzed	per m <sup>3</sup>	Total	Counts	Analyzed	per m <sup>3</sup>	Total
	Alternaria												
	Arthrinium												
	Ascospores	5	100	67	25	15	100	200	6	3	100	40	8
	Basidiospores	2	100	27	10	3	100	40	1	6	100	80	16
	Bipolaris/Drechslera												
	Cercospora												
2	Curvularia												
edo	Epicoccum												
l mi	Helicomyces*												
antly	Nigrospora												
ļõ	Oidium/Peronospora												
Itdo	Periconia/Smuts**												
٩ ٩	Pithomyces												
	Rusts												
	Spegazzinia												
	Stemphylium												
	Tetraploa												
	Torula									1	100	13	3
	Unspecified spores												
23	Aspergillus/Penicillium	7	100	93	35	216	100	2880	91	22	100	293	59
Itdo	Cladosporium	6	100	80	30	3	100	40	1	5	100	67	14
or T	Fusarium												
	Chaetomium												
India	Stachybotrys												
ater	Trichoderma												
7	Ulocladium												
	Total	20		270	100%	240		3200	100%	37		490	100%
	Background Debris			3				3				2	
	Pollen Count			1									
	Hyphal Fragments			1				1					
A	nalvtical Sensitivity (Spores/m <sup>3</sup> )			13				13		13			
	ing the constantly (opores/in )												

\* Heliocomyces includes Helicosporium; \*\* Periconia/Smuts includes Myxomycetes

Spores per m<sup>3</sup> (final counts) reported to 2 significant figures

Spores of Aspergillus, Penicillium, and others are small with few distinguishing features and therefore can not be differentiated. If % analyzed is <100%, spores per  $m^3$  is based on extrapolation and not actual count.

Information provided by customer includes customer sample ID, location, volume and area as well as date and time of sampling.

**APPROVED BY:** 

Im são De

Tianbao Bai, Ph.D., Laboratory Director

🛟 eurofins

CEI

## MOLD SPORE TRAP REPORT: NONVIABLE DIRECT MICROSCOPY

**CLIENT** Matrix Health & Safety Consultants 2900 Yonkers Road Raleigh, NC 27604

Lab Code: M230910 Date Received: 03-09-23 Date Analyzed: 03-10-23 Date Reported: 03-10-23

PROJECT: Sanford Train Depot

										_			
	Client ID		STD-4			EX-1							
	Lab ID	M003066			M003067								
	Location		Sto	rage			Ext	erior					
	Volume (L)			75				75					
		Raw	%	Sporeş	% of	Raw	%	Sporeş	% of	Raw	%	Sporeş	% of
-		Counts	Analyzed	per m°	Total	Counts	Analyzed	per m°	Total	Counts	Analyzed	per m°	Total
	Arthrinium												
	Ascospores	6	100	80	2	10	100	133	10				
	Rasidiosporos	3	100	40	1	23	100	307	13				
	Basiciospores Bipolaris/Drachslara	5	100	40	1	23	100	307	43				
	Cercospora												
	Cunularia												
red	Epicoccum												
) ni	Helicomyces*												
nant	Nigrospora												
1	Oidium/Peronospora												
utd	Periconia/Smuts**												
٩ ٩	Pithomyces												
	Rusts												
	Spegazzinia												
	Stemphylium												
	Tetraploa												
	Torula												
	Unspecified spores												
0=	Aspergillus/Penicillium	109	43	3380	85	2	100	27	4				
utdo	Cladosporium	35	100	467	12	18	100	240	34				
Pr -	Fusarium												
	Chaetomium	1	100	13	<1								
Indi	Stachybotrys												
cato	Trichoderma												
7	Ulocladium												
	Total	150		4000	100%	53		710	100%				
	Background Debris			3				3					
	Pollen Count							9					
	Hyphal Fragments							4					
A	nalvtical Sensitivity (Spores/m <sup>3</sup> )			13				13					

\* Heliocomyces includes Helicosporium; \*\* Periconia/Smuts includes Myxomycetes

Spores per m<sup>3</sup> (final counts) reported to 2 significant figures

Spores of Aspergillus, Penicillium, and others are small with few distinguishing features and therefore can not be differentiated. If % analyzed is <100%, spores per  $m^3$  is based on extrapolation and not actual count.

Information provided by customer includes customer sample ID, location, volume and area as well as date and time of sampling.

**APPROVED BY:** 

Im são De

Tianbao Bai, Ph.D., Laboratory Director



CEI

## SPORE CLASSIFICATION:

For purposes of this report, identified mold spores are classified into three general categories depending on environmental conditions the spore is most commonly associated with:

- 1) PREDOMINANTLY OUTDOOR: Most commonly found growing outdoors and are not usually associated with indoor mold sources.
- 2) INDOOR / OUTDOOR: Commonly grow in both indoor and outdoor environments.
- 3) WATER INDICATOR: Most commonly associated with indoor mold growth in buildings with long-term water intrusion issues.

PREDOMINANTLY OUTDOOR

**INDOOR / OUTDOOR** 



### **BACKGROUND DEBRIS:**

Background debris is the amount of non-fungal particulates present in the trace including dust, fibers, skin scales, dust mites, and insect parts. A debris rating is assigned each trace from 0 (lowest) to 5 (highest). A higher debris rating means samples are more difficult to analyze, and spores, especially smaller spores like *Aspergillus / Penicilium*, may be obscured. Counts with debris ratings of 4 or 5 should be regarded as minimal counts with actual counts assumed to be significantly higher. A further explanation of the debris rating is listed below:

- 0 None Detected. No debris observed.
- **1 Trace.** Field of view obscured < 5%. Counts unaffected.
- 2 Light. Field of view obscured 5% to 25%. Counts slightly affected.
- 3 Moderate. Field of view obscured 25% to 75% . Actual counts may be higher than reported counts.
- 4- Heavy. Field of view obscured 75% to 90%. Actual counts may be significantly higher than reported counts.
- 5 Very Heavy. Field of view obscured > 90%. Actual counts may be significantly higher than reported counts. Resampling may be necessary.

## **DEFINITION OF TERMS**:

Analytical Sensitivity: Spore per cubic meter (concentration) divided by raw count.

Limit of Detection: One Spore

Hyphal Fragments: Hyphal fragments are broken pieces of fungal hyphae and constitute the vegetative structure of the fungus.

**Pollen Count**: Pollen grains (Pollen) are the male reproductive structures of Angiosperm plants. These are counted only as pollen and not classified to Genus level.

Raw Counts: The number of spores counted by the analyst.

**%** Analyzed: The amount of the trace that was analyzed for each individual spore type. If large amounts of any spore type(s) exist, counts may be extrapolated.

% of Total: Percentage of the sample that is made up of each spore type.

## INDOOR AND OUTDOOR COMPARISONS:

There are no current Federal standards regarding permissible levels of airborne fungi that may be present in buildings. Mold spores are ubiquitous to our planet and it is expected that some spores will be present in normal indoor environments. A general guideline that is widely accepted in the industrial hygiene industry is that the types and numbers of mold spores present in the indoor environment should be similar to those present in the outdoor environment. If inside spore counts are significantly higher than outside counts this may indicate a potential mold problem. The comparison of outdoor and indoor spore types and concentrations is a useful tool in assessing abnormal mold contamination; however, it should not be the sole determining factor in evaluating health risks and remediation strategies.



	SPORE NAME	COMMON HABITAT	ALLERGENIC POTENTIAL	MYCOTOXIN POTENTIAL
	Alternaria	Soil, seeds, plants, carpet, textiles, window frames, air	X	X
	Arthrinium	Soil, plant materials, decaying wood	X	
	Ascospores	Plants, soil, cellulose-containing materials, air		
	Basidiospores	Soil, plants, wood, cellulose-containing materials, air		
	Bipolaris/Drechslera	Grasses, plant material, decaying food, soil		
	Cercospora	Plants		
	Curvularia	Soil, plant materials, cellulose-containing materials	X	
	Epicoccum	Plants, soil, seeds, carpet, air	X	
Pre	Helicomyces*	Plants		
domina	Nigrospora	Plants, soil		
intly Ou	Oidium/Peronospora	Plants		
tdoor	Periconia/Smuts**	Plants, air	x	
	Pithomyces	Soil, plant material, air		
	Rusts	Grasses, trees, other plants	x	
	Spegazzinia	Soil, plants		
	Stemphylium	Dead plants, cellulose-containing materials		
	Tetraploa	Plants		
	Torula	Soil, plants		
	Unspecified spores	Various		
	* Heliocomyces includes	Helicosporium; * Periconia/Smuts includes Myxomycetes		
Indo	Aspergillus/Penicillium	Soil, food, carpet, HVAC, air	x	x
or / Out	Cladosporium	Plants, woody plants, food, soil, paint, textiles, carpet, HVAC, air	x	
door	Fusarium	Soil, plants, seed, fruits, grains		x
	Chaetomium	Cellulose-containing materials, soil, seeds, dung	x	x
Wa	Stachybotrys	Paper, wallpaper, gypsum board	x	x
ter	Trichoderma	Soil, decaying wood, plant material, cellulose-containing materials	X	X
	Ulocladium	Soil, grasses, wood, paper		

eu	ro	fin	S

## MOLD / MATERIALS IDENTIFICATION CHAIN OF CUSTODY

0		
	and the second s	
	States 1	

730 SE Maynard Road, Cary, NC 27511 Tel: 866-481-1412; Fax: 919-481-1442 LAB USE ONLY: ECEI Lab Code M230910 ECEI Lab I.D. Range: M 00 306

COMPANY INFORMATION	PROJECT INFORMATION
ECEI CLIENT #:	Job Contact: Gregg E. Heppert
Matrix Health & Safety Consultants, LLC	Email/Tel: SanFord Tran Dear
2900 Yonkers Road Raleigh, NC 27604	Project Name: Utersager - Project
	Project ID# Athiotog Facility
Gregg@matrixhsc.com	PO#: Cross Country Lackor Appi
Tel: 919.833.2520 Fax:	STATE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN: NC

## IF TAT IS NOT MARKED STANDARD 3 DAY TAT APPLIES.

			and the	TURN	AROUNI	DTIME	The state	
MICROBIOLOGY	METHOD	4 HR*	8 HR*	24 HR	2 DAY	3 DAY	5 DAY	7-10 DAY
MOLD NON-VIABLE *	TAPE LIFT, BULK, SWAB							
MOLD NON-VIABLE *	SPORETRAP			A				
MOLD VIABLE	IMPACTOR							
MOLD VIABLE	BULK, SWAB, DUST	The second			F. Lake			
DUST CHARACTERIZATION	PLM							
PARTICLE IDENTIFICATION	PLM							
COMBUSTION-BY-PRODUCTS	ASTM D6602-13							
COMBUSTION-BY-PRODUCTS WITH TEM CONFIRMATION OF SOOT	ASTM D6602-13	1						
OTHER:								

\*Blanks should be taken from the same sample lot as field samples.

FIELD ID #		SAMPLE LOCATIO	ON	AREA	(in <sup>2</sup> )	VOLUME	E(L)
570-1	Confe	unce form				Th	
570-2	HVAC	hoom				1	
STD-3	OFFIC	es					
510.4	Stork	16-2		1			
EX-1	EXTRA	Soll					
REMARKS: 3/9/202	23					Accept Sa Reject Sar	mples nples
Relinquished I	By:	Date/Time	Receive	d By:	1	Date/Time	ALCONT.
Cala		3/9/23	MC		3/9	AND	
Ciff			<i>J</i>		7:30		

By submitting samples, you are agreeing to ECEI's Terms and Conditions. Samples will be disposed of 30 days after analysis.